



### COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To:

Board of Coupty Commissioners

From:

Robert A. McMillan, County Attorney

Date:

July 13, 2004

Subject:

Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Legal Costs

Commissioner Randall C. Morris

Invoices for the payment of attorneys' fees and costs related to complaints filed against Commissioner Morris with the Florida Commission on Ethics for actions taken as a member of the Board of County Commissioners have been submitted to the County for payment.<sup>1</sup> The submitted invoices total \$12,953.21.<sup>2</sup>

The purpose of this memorandum is to discuss and analyze the law in Florida applicable to this matter and to make a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners based upon the law and the public policies underpinning that law. In terms of the factual matters regarding the disposition of the ethics complaint and the request for payment, I am attaching copies of the Final Orders and Public Reports adopted by the Ethics Commission on April 28, 2004 and copies of the invoices submitted by the attorneys who represented Commissioner Morris regarding the complaints.

Commissioner Morris is an elected member of the Board of County Commissioners and, therefore, a "public officer" by virtue of that office.<sup>3</sup> The complaints filed all relate to actions taken as a County Commissioner.

<sup>1</sup> Copies of the complaints are now public records and available for review upon request.

<sup>3</sup> Section 112.3143(1)(a), Florida Statutes (1993), provides that the term "[p]ublic officer includes any person elected or appointed to hold office in any agency ..." The term "agency" is defined in Section 112.312, Florida Statutes (1993), as "... any state, regional, county, local or municipal government entity of this state ...."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is not the first time the County has been required to pay for legal representation of a public official based on being cleared by the Commission on Ethics. In 1993 the County paid over \$43,000 for the representation of an appointed member of a County advisory board who was alleged to have had a voting conflict. That complaint went to a full evidentiary hearing before a state hearing officer. In the current situation the extra costs were avoided because no probable cause was found to exist and therefore no full hearing was necessary.

The Florida Supreme Court clearly acknowledged in the case of *Thornber v. City of Fort Walton Beach*, 568 So.2d 914, the general entitlement of public officials to reimbursement for legal defense costs resulting from public service. In the *Thornber* decision the Florida Supreme Court stated that:

Florida courts have long recognized that public officials are entitled to legal representation at public expense to defend themselves against litigation arising from their official duties while serving a public purpose. 568 So. 2d at 916-917.

The public policy supporting this rule of law is a strong one and is based on common law principles. The Florida Supreme Court stated that:

[t]he purpose of this common law rule is to avoid the chilling effect that a denial of representation might have on public officials in performing their duties properly and diligently. 568 So. 2d at 917.

This rule was stated even more directly in O*melo v. City of Sunrise*, 423 So. 2d 974, 976 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA), *petition for review dismissed*, 431 So. 2d 988 (Fla. 1983), where the court said an, "... obligation arises independent of statute, ordinance or charter. It is not subject to the discretion of the keepers of the city coffers."

In a footnote from the *Thornber* Supreme Court Decision, the Court recognized that this common law principle applies when a public officer is the subject of a complaint filed with the Florida Commission on Ethics. In other words, even absent a specific statutory obligation, Florida law authorizes, indeed encourages, such reimbursement of public officers. The Attorney General of the State of Florida and the First District Court of Appeal had reached the same conclusion prior to the Florida Supreme Court's decision in *Thornber*. See, Opinion of the Attorney General of Florida 85-51 (July 18, 1985) and *Ellison v. Reid*, 397 So. 2d 353, 354 (Fla. 1<sup>st</sup> DCA 1981), wherein the District Court of Appeal stated:

[t]here is no doubt a valuable public purpose is served in protecting the effective operation and maintenance of the administration of a public office. If a public officer is charged with misconduct while performing his official duties and while serving a public purpose, the public has primary interest in such a controversy and should pay the reasonable and necessary legal fees incurred by the public officer in successfully defending against unfounded allegations of official misconduct.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, although it cannot be said that attorneys' fees and costs must be paid by the County in every case when a public officer of Seminole County is accused of official misconduct and successfully defends against the

charges<sup>4</sup>, it is clear that an entitlement to payment of such fees exists if the Board of County Commissioners finds that the complaints relate to matters that arose out of or in connection with the performance of official duties and that the actions served a public purpose.

The ethics complaints filed involved actions taken by Commissioner Morris' in his role as County Commissioner and, therefore, the accusations arose out of his public duties and are the result of actions taken or not taken as an elected County Commissioner. In each case the Commission on Ethics found a lack of probable cause to support the allegations made and, accordingly, dismissed the Complaints. The Commission on Ethics found, after a preliminary investigation, that there was such a lack of evidence there would be no purpose served by conducting an evidentiary hearing. Therefore, it is my recommendation that the Board of County Commissioners reimburse Commissioner Morris for such attorney's fees and legal costs as the Board finds to be reasonable under the circumstances of the case.

We have reviewed the invoices submitted by the attorneys who represented Commissioner Morris. The amounts appear reasonable. Authorization is requested to pay the invoices in the amounts shown thereon. Authorization is also requested for the County Manager to execute any necessary budget transfer to transfer money from contingency reserves into the appropriate budget line in order to make such payment.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in any way or if you have any questions relating to this matter.

RAM/gn Attachments Public Reports (5) Messer, Caparello & Self P.A. Invoice Frederick Nelson, Esquire Invoice

\_

Chavez v. City of Tampa, 560 So. 2d 1214 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990). In Chavez, a city counsel member, acting in her capacity as a citizen, brought a rezoning petition to the city counsel. On advice from the city attorney, she initially abstained from voting on her petition. However, when the vote tied, she decided to vote and, in doing so, broke the tie so that the motion passed. An ethics complaint was filed but the charges dismissed. The counsel woman sought reimbursement from the city for her legal expenses which the city refused to pay. The Second District affirmed the city's decision finding that she failed to satisfy both prongs of the reimbursement test. The Court found that she did not cast the vote to serve a public purpose but to "advance her own private pecuniary interests." As such, there was no authority for the city to reimburse the counsel woman's defense expenses.

#### BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

APR 28 2004

**COMMISSION ON ETHICS** 

In re RANDALL C. MORRIS,	)	Complaint No. 02-178
Respondent.	)	
	)	

#### PUBLIC REPORT

Based on the preliminary investigation of this complaint and on the recommendation of the Commission's Advocate, the Commission on Ethics finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent, as a Seminole County Commissioner, violated Section 112.313(6), Florida Statutes, by entering into an improper agreement with John Pfeiffer which assured that Mr. Pfeiffer's company would be awarded a County contract without going through the necessary bid process; finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent violated Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, by retaining John Pfeiffer as a paid advisor for the County's bus shelter project and entering into an agreement with Mr. Pfeiffer that would assure that Mr. Pfeiffer's company would be awarded the contract without going through the necessary bid process; finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent violated Section 112.313(8), Florida Statutes, by sharing County information not available to the general public with John Pfeiffer regarding the County's bus shelter project so that Mr. Pfeiffer's company would have an advantage over other companies interested in the project; and finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent violated Section 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes, by voting to accept requests for proposals and voting to approve certain proposals for the award of the County's bus shelter project after privately retaining John Pfeiffer as a paid advisor on the project and privately agreeing to award Mr. Pfeiffer's company the contract; as alleged in this complaint.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed with the issuance of this public report, which shall include the investigative report of the matter.

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in executive session on April 23, 2004.

Date Place L. Spanner

Richard L. Spears

Chair

cc: Mr. Frederick H. Nelson, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Mark Herron, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Craig Bailey, Complainant

#### BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

'APR 28 2004'

**COMMISSION ON ETHICS** 

In re RANDALL C. MORRIS,	)	
	)	Complaint No. 02-179
Respondent.	)	
	}	

#### **PUBLIC REPORT**

Based on the preliminary investigation of this complaint and on the recommendation of the Commission's Advocate, the Commission on Ethics finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent, as a Seminole County Commissioner, violated Section 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes, by voting to approve an amendment and re-zoning for property on Red Bug Road in which his business associate, Jim Stelling, represented the applicant, as alleged in this complaint.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed with the issuance of this public report, which shall include the investigative report of the matter.

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in executive session on April 23, 2004.

Richard L. Spears

28, 2004 like L. Ch.

Chair

cc:

Mr. Frederick H. Nelson, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Mark Herron, Attorney for Respondent

Ms. Annette G. Russell, Complainant

#### BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA **COMMISSION ON ETHICS**

DATE FILED

APR 28 2004

**COMMISSION ON ETHICS** 

In re RANDALL C. MORRIS,	)	
	)	Complaint No. 02-180
Respondent.	)	
	)	

#### PUBLIC REPORT

Based on the preliminary investigation of this complaint and on the recommendation of the Commission's Advocate, the Commission on Ethics finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent, as a Seminole County Commissioner, violated Section 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes, by voting to approve a land use change and re-zoning for Commercial Realty Group, Inc., which was represented at the meeting by Respondent's business associate, Larry Dale, as alleged in this complaint.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed with the issuance of this public report, which shall include the investigative report of the matter.

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in executive session on April 23, 2004.

Date Like L. Character Like L. Character Like L. Character L. Characte

Richard L. Spears

Chair

cc:

Mr. Frederick H. Nelson, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Mark Herron, Attorney for Respondent

Ms. Patricia Duffy, Complainant

#### BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

COMMISSION ON ETHICS

In re RANDALL C. MORRIS,

)
Complaint No. 02-181
Respondent.
)

#### PUBLIC REPORT

Based on the preliminary investigation of this complaint and on the recommendation of the Commission's Advocate, the Commission on Ethics finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent, as a Seminole County Commissioner, violated Section 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes, by voting on November 26, 2002, on agenda items 54 and 58, in which his business partner, Kenneth Wright, received a special gain or loss, as alleged in this complaint.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed with the issuance of this public report, which shall include the investigative report of the matter.

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in executive session on April 23, 2004.

Richard L. Spears

Date Date

Chair

cc: Mr. Frederick H. Nelson, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Mark Herron, Attorney for Respondent

Ms. Wendy Saliga, Complainant

# BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

APR 28 20041

**COMMISSION ON ETHICS** 

In re RANDALL C. MORRIS,	)	
	)	Complaint No. 02-182
Respondent.	)	
	)	

#### PUBLIC REPORT

Based on the preliminary investigation of this complaint, the Commission on Ethics rejects the recommendation of its Advocate and finds that there is no probable cause to believe the Respondent, as a Seminole County Commissioner, violated Section 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes, by voting on a re-zoning application made by Schrimsher Properties, a company that Respondent's business associate, Jim Stelling, represented in the matter that came before the County Commission, as alleged in this complaint. The facts revealed by the investigation do not demonstrate that special private gain or loss inured to Mr. Stelling from the vote.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed with the issuance of this public report, which shall include the investigative report of the matter.

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in executive session on April 23, 2004.

Date

Richard L. Spears

lipril 28, 2004

Chair

cc:

Mr. Frederick H. Nelson, Attorney for Respondent

Mr. Mark Herron, Attorney for Respondent

Ms. Ann Bryant, Complainant

To: From: 407-786-2978 Fri 2 Jul 2004 15:18:04 Page: 3

Law Offices of Frederick H. Nelson, P.A. 1110 Douglas Avenue Suite 1002 Altamonte Springs, FL 32714

Invoice submitted to:				
Mr.	Randy Morris			
	•			
l				

Invoice Date	Invoice Number	Last Bill Date
July 02, 2004	33	

#### **Professional Services**

			Hours	Amount
2/5/2003	FHN	Open and categorize new file	0.50	150.00
2/13/2003	FHN	Receive and review facsimile from Mr. Randy Morris.	0.10	30.00
2/27/2003	FHN	Receive and review five FEC Complaints.	1.70	510.00
8/8/2003	FHN	Telephone calls to and from FEC investigator Mr. Keith Powell regarding scheduling conference.	0.20	60.00
8/19/2003	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding scheduling conference with FEC investigator.	0.30	90.00
	FHN	Docketing conference with Mr. Randy Morris and FEC investigator Mr. Keith Powell.	0.20	60.00
	FHN	Reviewing cases and statutes regarding FEC violations.	3.70	1,110.00
8/22/2003	SEN	Telephone calls to and from Inspector Keith Powell regarding conference.	0.10	1.80
	FHN	Review file and prepare for conference with Mr. Randy Morris.	1.40	420.00
8/25/2003	FHN	Prepare for and attend conference with Mr. Randy Morris.	2.20	660.00
8/26/2003	SEN	Preparing exhibits for conference with inspector Keith Powell.	0.50	9.00
8/28/2003	FHN	Second letter to attorney Steve Lee.	0.30	90.00
	FHN	Letter to attorney Steve Lee.	0.30	90.00

Mr. Randy Morr	ris		F	age 2
			Hours	Amount
8/28/2003 1	FHN	Receive and review letter from attorney Steve Lee.	0.20	60.00
Ĭ	FHN	Telephone call from Mr. Randy Morris regarding documents and litigation strategy.	0.50	150.00
9/3/2003 (	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding documents and update on status.	0.30	90.00
!	FHN	Review file and prepare for conference with Mr. Randy Morris and Mr. Keith Powell.	1.10	330.00
9/4/2003	FHN	Receive and review additional documents from Mr. Randy Morris.	0.30	90.00
9/7/2003 1	FHN	Review file and prepare for conference with Mr. Randy Morris and FEC investigator.	0.80	240.00
;	SEN	Preparing file for conference with investigator Keith Powell and Mr. Randy Morris.	0.60	10.80
9/8/2003	FHN	Memorandum to file regarding filing documents.	0.30	90.00
Ì	FHN	Prepare for and attend conference with Mr. Randy Morris and Mr. Keith Powell.	2.40	720.00
9/16/2003	FHN	Review file and prepare for drafting Affidavits.	0.70	210.00
i	FHN	Initial drafting of Affidavits.	2.30	690.00
9/24/2003	FHN	Redrafting of Affidavits,	1.70	510.00
9/25/2003	FHN	Redrafting of Affidavits.	2.20	660.00
1	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Affidavits.	0.20	60.00
9/26/2003	SEN	Preparing facsimile to Mr. Randy Morris.	0.20	3.60
!	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Affidavits.	0.40	120.00
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding Affidavits.	0.10	30.00
;	SEN	Sending facsimile of Affidavits to Mr. Randy Morris.	0.10	1.80
10/3/2003	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Randall Morris regarding status of Affidavits.	0.10	30.00
10/6/2003	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding status of Affidavits.	0.20	60.00
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Randall Morris regarding status of Affidavits.	0.40	120.00
12/1/2003	FHN	Receive and review letter from Mr. Keith Powell.	0.20	60.00

Mr. Randy M	orris		f	Page 3
			Hours	Amount
12/1/2003	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Randy Morris regarding status of case.	0.10	30.00
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding status of case.	0.10	30.00
12/2/2003	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding status of affidavits.	0.20	60.00
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding status of affidavits.	0.10	30.00
12/8/2003	SEN	Preparing update of file.	0.20	3.60
12/18/2003	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding edits to affidavits.	0.20	60.00
	FHN	Receive and review facsimile from Mr. Randy Morris.	0.30	90.00
	FHN	Review file and prepare for editing of affidavits.	0.70	210.00
1/9/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding edits to affidavits.	0.40	120.00
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding affidavits.	0.10	30.00
	FHN	Review file and prepare for redrafting affidavits.	0.70	210.00
1/13/2004	SEN	Preparing Affidavits.	0.30	5.40
	SEN	Travel to deliver documents.	0.60	10.80
	SEN	Preparing letter to Mr. Randall Morris.	0.10	1.80
1/23/2004	FHN	Receive and review letter from attorney Steve Lee.	0.20	60.00
1/26/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from attorney Steve Lee regarding drafts of Affidavits.	0.20	60.00
i	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding drafts of Affidavits.	0.30	90.00
	FHN	Letter to attorney Steve Lee.	0.30	90.00
1/27/2004	FHN	Telephone call from Mr. Keith Powell regarding Affidavits.	0.10	30.00
1/29/2004	FHN	Telephone call from Mr. Randy Morris regarding drafts of Affidavits.	0.40	120.00
1/30/2004	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Keith Powell regarding Affidavits.	0.10	30.00
2/6/2004	SEN	Preparing Affidavits supporting Commissioner Randy Morris.	0.70	12.60
	SEN	Travel to deliver documents.	0.60	10.80

Mr. Randy M	orris		P	age 4
			Hours	Amount
3/8/2004	FHN	Telephone call from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Report.	0.40	120.00
3/9/2004	FHN	Telephone call from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Ethics Report of Investigation.	0.40	120.00
3/10/2004	FHN	Receive and review Ethics Report of Investigations.	1.10	330.00
3/11/2004	SEN	Preparing update of file.	0.30	5.40
3/12/2004	FHN	Letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	0.30	90.00
	SEN	Preparing letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	0.10	1.80
	FHN	Telephone call to Mr. Randy Morris regarding Ethics Report of Investigations.	0.10	30.00
3/16/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Ethics Report of Investigations.	0.60	180.00
3/17/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Ethics Report of Investigations.	0.50	150.00
3/19/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding investigation.	0.30	90.00
3/22/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding investigation.	0.30	90.00
	SEN	Preparing update of file.	0.20	3.60
3/24/2004	SEN	Sending facsimile of letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	0.10	1.80
	SEN	Sending facsimile of letter to Mr. Keith Powell.	0.10	1.80
	SEN	Preparing letter and facsimile to Mr. Keith Powell and Mr. Randy Morris.	0.50	9.00
3/29/2004	FHN	Receive and review Advocate's Recommendations.	1.10	330.00
	FHN	Receive and review facsimile from Mr. Randy Morris.	0.10	30.00
	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding Advocate's Recommendations.	0.50	150.00
3/30/2004	FHN	Redrafting of Contact Sheet.	0.20	60.00
	FHN	Telephone call to attorney Mark Herron regarding Advocate's Recommendations.	0.10	30.00
4/19/2004	FHN	Receive and review letter from attorney Mark Herron with attachment.	0.40	120.00
	FHN	Letter to attorney Mark Herron.	0.30	90.00

vir.	Randy Mo	rris			Page	5
				Hours	Amour	<u>1t</u>
	4/19/2004	FHN	Receive and review documentation and letters from attorney Mark Herron.	0.40	120.0	0
	4/20/2004	SEN	Preparing update of file.	0.20	3.60	0
	4/24/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding hearing.	0.10	30.00	0
	4/26/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding hearing.	0.80	240.00	0
	5/3/2004	FHN	Receive and review Public Reports on Complaints.	0.30	90.00	0
	6/24/2004	FHN	Telephone calls to and from Mr. Randy Morris regarding status.	0.30	90.00	O
		For pro	fessional services rendered	43.90	\$11,619.00	<del>-</del> 0
		Addition	nal Charges :			
				Qty/Price		
	2/13/2003		acsimile and review facsimile from Mr. Pandy Morris.	1 1.00	1.00	)
	8/26/2003		opying ng exhibits for conference with inspector Keith Powell.	83 0.25	20.75	5
	9/7/2003		opying ng file for conference with investigator Keith Powell and Mr. Randy	12 0.25	3.00	)
	9/26/2003		acsimile g facsimile of Affidavits to Mr. Randy Morris.	56 1.00	56.00	)
1	2/18/2003		acsimile and review facsimile from Mr. Randy Morris.	5 1.00	5.00	)
	1/13/2004		ostage g Affidavits.	1 3. <b>8</b> 5	3.85	5
		E108 Po Sending	ostage g letter to Mr. Randall Morris.	1 0.37	0.37	7
		E101 Co Prepario	opying ng letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	1 0.25	0.28	5
			ocal travel o deliver documents - mileage.	1 <b>4.2</b> 0	4.20	)
		E101 Co Prepario	opying ng Affidavits.	30 0.25	7.50	)

Mr. Randy Mo	p	age 6	
		Qtv/Price	Amount
2/6/2004	E101 Copying Preparing Affidavits supporting Commissioner Randy Morris.	238 0.25	59.50
	E108 Postage Priority Mail to Mr. Keith Powell.	1 3.85	3.85
	E109 Local travel Travel to deliver documents - mileage.	4.20	4.20
3/12/2004	E101 Copying Preparing letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	1 0.25	0.25
	E108 Postage Preparing letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	1 0,37	0.37
3/24/2004	E104 Facsimile Sending facsimile of letter to Mr. Randy Morris.	1.00	2.00
	E104 Facsimile Sending facsimile of letter to Mr. Keith Powell,	1.00	2.00
	E101 Copying Preparing letter and facsimile to Mr. Keith Powell and Mr. Randy Morris.	3 0. <b>2</b> 5	0.75
	E108 Postage Preparing letter and facsimile to Mr. Keith Powell and Mr. Randy Morris.	0. <b>3</b> 7	0.37
3/29/2004	E104 Facsimile Receive and review facsimile from Mr. Randy Morris.	4 1.00	4.00
	Total costs		\$179.21
	Total amount of this bill		\$11,798.21

Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 Telephone (850) 222-0720 FEI 59-2921100

Parc Server

RANDELL C. MORRIS 770 BLADES COURT WINTER SPRINGS FL 32708

Account No: Statement No:

Page: 1 May 05, 2004 1022-11647 15613

Attn: RANDALL C. MORRIS

**ETHICS COMPLAINTS** 

F	е	е	S

	1 000						
04/01/2004				Hours			
MH	Prepared draft response to Advocate's Recommendatio 02-182; reviewed and analyzed law regarding same.	n in Complaint No		2.50			
04/02/2004							
МН	Conferenced by telephone with Randy Morris regarding Advocate's Recommendation; revised same.		0.40				
04/19/2004							
МН	Received email memorandum from Rick Nelson regardi response to Complaint No 02-182; prepared email mem Nelson regarding same; received telephone call from Ra						
	regarding probable cause hearing and strategies.	ay 17101710		0.40			
04/22/2004 MH	Prepared for probable cause hearing; outlined presentat	ion on complaints.		1.80			
04/23/2004							
МН	Prepared for and attended probable cause hearing; conf Morris regarding same.		1.30				
04/28/2004							
MH	Reviewed public reports dismissing complaints; reviewed signed letter to Randy Morris regarding same.			0.20			
	For Current Services Rendered			6.60	1,155.00		
					1,100,00		
Recapitulation							
<u>Timekeeper</u> MARK HERR	ON	<u>Hours</u> 6.60	Rate		<u>Total</u>		
MARKITERN	ON	0.00	\$175.00		\$1,155.00		
	Total Current Work				1,155.00		
	Balance Due				\$1,155.00		
	Please Remit				\$1,155.00		

ALL INVOICES DUE UPON RECEIPT.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR PROMPT PAYMENT.